Nato 2.0 : Reboot or Delete?

Sarwar A. Kashmeri

On September 5, 2009, the commanding officer of NATOs German troops in Afghanistan ordered a U.S. Air Force fighter to destroy two fuel trucks hijacked by the Taliban. Within hours, he was being investigated by German prosecutors for the murder of innocent civilians - collateral damage. Under German law its forces can only be deployed for peacekeeping America might be at war in Afghanistan, but Germany is not. Germany is not the only country that sets strict conditions on its NATO troops. Half of the allied forces in Afghanistan operate under restricted battlefield conditions. Gen. Dwight Eisenhower stormed the beaches of Normandy with an Allied army that followed his every command in Afghanistan military commanders must consult a checklist to figure out which allied soldiers can be sent into battle. NATO today is a shadow of what it used to be - the worlds most formidable military alliance. Its original reason for existence, the Soviet Union, disintegrated years ago, and its dreams of being a world cop are withering in the mountains of Afghanistan. But eliminating NATO is not the answer, argues Sarwar Kashmeri. It is, for Americans and Europeans, still the safety net of last resort. Kashmeri believes NATOs future usefulness depends on its ability to partner with CSDP, Europes increasingly successful security and defense establishment. It is time for NATO 2.0, a new version of NATO, to fit the realities of the twenty-first century.

- The National Domain in Canada and Its Proper Conservation
- The National Park Service Its History, Activities, and Organization
- National Strategy for Research and Data on Children`Lives, 2011-2016
- Natural Disasters : Fire and Flood, Hurricanes and Tornadoes, Volcanoes and Earthquakes
- Native American Programs ACT
- Nationalist Democratic Action